



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/821,651

04/09/2004

Seiji Aiso

MIPFP087

8799

25920 7590 12/12/2008  
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP  
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE  
SUITE 200  
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085

EXAMINER

RASHIDIAN, MOHAMMAD M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2624

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/12/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |                                    |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/821,651 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>AISO, SEIJI |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>MEHDI RASHIDIAN   | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2624            |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MEHDI RASHIDIAN. (3) Samir A. Ahmed.

(2) Peter B. Martine. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Regarding application number 10/821,651, a telephonic interview with attorney of record Mr. Peter B. Martine (reg. No. 32,043) was conducted and cited reference selected by examiner to reject all limitations of claim 1 were discussed. Sited refrence discloses all claimed limitations of claim 1..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| /Mehdi Rashidian/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 2624 | /Samir A. Ahmed/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624 |
|--|--|